# Southwest Vermont Regional Technical School District (SWVRTSD) Education Committee Meeting Minutes: December 6, 2007 Macting Room, Carper Dayslepment Center

3 Meeting Room, Career Development Center

1 2

Committee Members Present: Claude DeLucia; Kevin Goodhue; Ed Letourneau, Chair

Staff and Others Present: Wendy Klein, CDC Coop Coordinator (arrives at 3:30/ left at 4:00); Wes Knapp SVSU Superintendent (left at 5:10); Bob Montgomery, CDC Assistant Director; Donna Oyama, CDC Director; Bill Swisher, CDC Guidance Coordinator (left at ).

Recorder: Barb Schlesinger.

Chairman Letourneau called the meeting to order at 3:04. The order of the Agenda was modified slightly, with Approval of Program Studies becoming item number 6.

#### 1. Manufacturing – Change of Program Name.

Swisher noted that Governor Douglas has stated, "Manufacturing is dead" although according to an article in the Banner, Bennington County is experiencing the most growth in this field in Vermont. Swisher noted that some other tech centers have changed this program's name to Design & Fabrication. CDC's Manufacturing Advisory Board has approved this same name change. Design & Fabrication removes the local stigma of "mill work" and creates a different image.

Letourneau moved and Goodhue seconded a motion to accept the proposed name change from Manufacturing to Design and Fabrication. All were in favor.

The Education Committee's name change approval will be brought to the Full Board at the December 17<sup>th</sup> meeting where the Board can act on it.

# 2. Horticulture/ Landscape Syllabus.

Oyama reported that the Horticulture Advisory Committee has met three-four times recently and has approved the syllabus. The syllabus has been approved by the state and meets VT State competencies. GIS mapping has been added to this new syllabus along with a strong focus on sustainable land use. Knapp questioned if the focus was organic and sited from his experience scenarios, for example beef cattle farming, where organic does not work. Letourneau noted that Jen Kimberly, who is on the Advisory Committee, would have noticed areas that would be problematic. Oyama noted, from her experiences, that organic truck farming works well.

Goodhue moved and Letourneau seconded a motion to accept the Horticulture/ Landscape Syllabus. All were in favor.

# 3. Cosmetology Update - Health Credit.

Montgomery reported that he had met with the MAU Education Committee and requested that they approve one half credit for health to be embedded in to the Cosmetology Program credits. The request was NOT approved at that time. Bob suggests that the request be resubmitted.

# 4. Auto Program Length and 60 Minute Tech English.

Oyama reported that Haskins has again requested a change in the program's class-time length. Haskins has requested that first year classes be 90 minutes and second year classes be 150 minutes. Currently, both year one and two meet for 120 minutes. Oyama expressed concern that, if adopted, there would be a loss in FTES because of the natural attrition from year one/ two.

Besides Auto Tech, there are three other programs, Forestry, Manufacturing (Design & Fabrication) and Building Trades, that would also like to change class times.

 Discussion followed as to:

- If all should be considered at the same time.
- The financial impact (FTES)
- What is in the best interest of the students
- English class to fill the time for first year students

1 2

Goodhue moved and DeLucia seconded a motion to approve the class length changes for all four programs and to pass this recommendation to the Finance Committee. All were in favor.

There was further discussion regarding the number of periods per day currently. Oyama noted that the current four-block day makes scheduling very tight, five – six periods per day increases flexibility. Knapp commented that MAU is revisiting "blocks", he likes module scheduling; it is the best of both worlds.

# 5. Career Awareness Short Block – 50 Minutes – 1 Semester.

Klein passed out course curricula for Navigation 101, a pre-tech foundation course being offered by VSAC free of charge. The course is designed for career exploration in grades 9+. In essence, it is a sixty-minute, full semester course consisting of career research, field trips to companies, career rotation, and soft skill development. Klein envisions one class (short block) per semester, meeting daily.

Discussion followed as to who would instruct, similar programs in the past, grade range of students in the class (9<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup>), reaching out to younger students, and potential value to MAU students also. Also discussed was Freshman Focus that is no longer required at MAU. Consensus was that it was a valuable course that should be extended to all high school age students that

Letourneau moved and Goodhue seconded a motion to approve the Career Awareness Short Block course. All were in favor.

This will need to be brought to the full Board for their approval. Letourneau suggested that the students in AV prepare a formal presentation to broadcast on CATTV. Knapp will bring this course to the attention of Oller to get on the Agenda for MAU's Board. It will be valuable to MAU students that are not college-bound.

#### 6. Approval of Program of Studies.

Oyama directed the Committee to the back pages of the draft Program of Studies that highlights (by program, alphabetically) the embedded credits in a given program. Also located in the back of the draft is an application. Swisher noted that the application only gets an applying student an interview with a teacher, not acceptance into the program.

The Program itself is modified annually and is the basis for the budget. It was noted that page(s) 9 and 15 need corrections.

The Committee approved the Draft Program of Studies in concept.

# 7. Superintendent Job Description - Update on Fact Finding.

Letourneau noted that the objective this evening was to approve the Job Description and to send it to the full Board. Most of the presented description evolved after the visits to the Middlebury and Springfield Technical Centers. In most cases, the individual wears "two hats": payroll and HR duties are spread out and present no problems. The Committee will recommend in the future that these duties be pulled in and done in-house (at CDC).

Knapp will meet next week with Rick and they will come to the next Board meeting and explain what CDC is getting and what is being done.

 The idea of the three Tech Centers (CDC, Middlebury and Springfield) "sharing" a superintendent was presented.

- → Knapp agreed that this could work; a superintendent is mainly responsible for paperwork and personnel and does not have to be on site all the time. He also noted that there was something to be said about a superintendent living in and being a part of the community they serve.
- → Letourneau will present the idea to the full Board and would like to set up a committee with the other two tech centers to explore this idea.

1 2

Discussion about specifics of the presented job description and qualifications followed. Knapp felt that the qualifications should be more general. Base salary was also talked about with Letourneau noting that the Springfield and Middlebury Directors/ Superintendents are not being paid more for performing the two tasks. Knapp has the VSBA, which includes superintendent salaries, and he will share this with the Board. Advertisement for a superintendent was also talked about, with Montgomery noting School Spring, which Oyama has used.

Consensus was to correct and make minor changes to the draft, mark it DRAFT, and to send to the Board members with their RGB packet next week.

There was also general superintendent discussion. DeLucia summarized CDC's three choices: 1) use the SVSU Superintendent, 2) upgrade the CDC Director's role to Director/ Superintendent, or 3) CDC hires its own superintendent. Knapp has had DeLucia placed on the Superintendent Search Committee (SVSU) and will recommend that he has voting rights. Letourneau reiterated that NEASC requested defined lines of authority between the director and superintendent, which is why a committee was formed to create the job description.

Goodhue asked about the evaluation process. Oyama noted that you can evaluate services and that the draft clarifies the services and what CDC wants. Oyama will have the sharing of a superintendent with the other tech centers on the upcoming agenda.

At 5:15 PM, a motion to adjourn passed unanimously.